

*Computers Math. Applic.* Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 45–50, 1993  
 Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved

0898-1221/93 \$6.00 + 0.00  
 Copyright© 1993 Pergamon Press Ltd

# A Direct Solver for a Class of Symmetric Linear Systems

A. ONANA AND A. MBALA HIKOLO

Ecole Polytechnique  
 BP 8390 Yaoundé, Cameroon

(Received November 1991; revised and accepted November 1992)

**Abstract**—A direct method is proposed for the numerical solution of a wide class of symmetric block-tridiagonal systems of linear equations resulting from a finite difference or finite element discretization of elliptic boundary value problems with Dirichlet boundary value conditions on rectangular domains. The method is based on a result which generalizes the classical Cramer's rule, and on an extensive use of the modified Chebyshev polynomials for the formulation of the algorithm. Numerical examples are given to illustrate the validity of the proposed approach.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Consider the following system of linear equations:

$$-U_{j-1} + AU_j - U_{j+1} = F_j; \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad (1)$$

$$U_0 = \alpha; \quad U_{N+1} = \beta; \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (2)$$

$$U_i = (U_{1i}, U_{2i}, \dots, U_{Ni})^t; \quad F_i = (F_{1i}, F_{2i}, \dots, F_{Ni})^t.$$

$A$  is a tridiagonal symmetric matrix,

$$A = [\gamma \ \xi \ \gamma]; \quad F_i, U_i \in \mathbb{R}^N; \quad \gamma, \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3)$$

They occur in a wide variety of simulation problems arising in various areas of engineering practice and which require the numerical solution of boundary value problems for elliptic partial differential equations. Discretization of such models by a finite difference or finite element method produces symmetric block-tridiagonal systems (SBTS) of linear equations. Due to their practical importance, these systems are a subject of permanent interest [1,2]. Two classes of numerical methods, direct and iterative methods have been developed [3–8] and efficient computer packages are now available [9].

The Fourier transform method [10], the cyclic reduction [11,12] and the marching methods [13,14] are the most efficient direct methods. The originality of these methods lies in the decomposition of the original system (1)–(3) to a set of subsystems with tridiagonal structure for which very efficient algorithms exist [15]. For all these methods, the number of elementary operations is generally  $O(N^2)$ .

In this paper, two main theoretical results are presented, which raise the opportunity to generalize the classical Cramer's rule to SBTS of equation. A direct method is proposed which reduces the initial system to a sequence of diagonal systems in the eigenvector coordinate system. An elementary transformation to the original coordinate system is then carried out. Sample applications are provided.

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

Again consider the system (1)–(3). Subsequently,  $I$  denotes the unity matrix. Let  $J_n(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be the modified  $n^{\text{th}}$  order second kind Chebyshev polynomial defined in [13,14,16] as follows:

$$J_n(x) = x J_{n-1}(x) - J_{n-2}(x), \quad (4)$$

$$J_0(x) = 1, \quad J_1(x) = x. \quad (5)$$

It has been shown [14] that

$$J_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n (x - R_n(k)); \quad R_n(k) = 2 \cos \left( \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right). \quad (6)$$

We define the operation  $\Delta^*$  on vectors as follows.

$\Delta^*U_i$  is obtained by applying the rules for computing the determinant associated with an unknown in Cramer's rule. As an example, consider the case  $m = 3$ :

$$\Delta^*U_i = \begin{vmatrix} F_1 & -I & 0 \\ F_2 & A & -I \\ F_3 & -I & A \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} A & -I \\ -I & A \end{vmatrix} F_1 - \begin{vmatrix} -I & 0 \\ -I & A \end{vmatrix} F_2 + \begin{vmatrix} -I & 0 \\ A & -I \end{vmatrix} F_3 = J_2 F_1 + A F_2 + F_3. \quad (7)$$

## 2. THEORETICAL RESULTS

There are two main results which we state as theorems.

**THEOREM 1.**

$$\Delta^*U_i = J_{N-i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} J_{k-1} F_k \right) + J_{i-1} \left( \sum_{k=i}^N J_{N-k} F_k \right), \quad (8)$$

$$J_k \equiv J_k(A), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

**PROOF.** This is easily seen from the properties of the modified Chebyshev polynomials [13,14] and after elementary algebraic manipulations.

**REMARK.** For the system

$$U_{i-1} + AU_i + U_{i+1} = F_i; \quad U_0 = \alpha; \quad U_{N+1} = \beta,$$

relation (8) becomes:

$$\Delta^*U_i = J_{N-i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} J_{k-1} F_k (-1)^{i+k} \right) + J_{i-1} \left( \sum_{k=i}^N J_{N-k} F_k (-1)^{i+k} \right). \quad (9)$$

**THEOREM 2.** Consider the system (1), (2). For  $N \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1\}$ . It may be solved using the relation

$$U_i = J_N^{-1} \Delta^*U_i; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (10)$$

**PROOF.** The proof is by induction. Let  $P(N)$  be the **proposition** to be verified. Obviously  $P(2)$  and  $P(3)$  are true. Now suppose that  $P(N-1)$  is true. Let us examine  $P(N)$ . Let (1)–(3) be the system associated with  $P(N)$ . Suppose that the solution  $U_1$  is already obtained by carrying out the necessary substitutions. Setting

$$V_i = U_{i+1} (i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1); \quad G_1 = F_2 + U_1; \quad G_i = F_{i+1} (i = 2, 3, \dots, N-1),$$

system (1) and (2) reduces to:

$$-V_{i-1} + AV_i - V_{i+1} = G_i; \quad i = 1, 3, \dots, N-1; \quad V_0 = V_N = 0. \quad (11)$$

Note that the system (11) is similar to (1), but of dimension reduced by one. Thus, (10) may be applied.

$$J_{N-1} V_1 = \Delta^* U_i = \sum_{k=1}^N J_{n-1-k} G_k, \quad (12)$$

therefore,

$$(AJ_{N-1} - J_{N-2}) U_2 = -J_{N-2} F_1 + A \sum_{k=2}^N J_{N-k} F_k \quad (13)$$

and

$$J_N U_2 = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} J_{k-1} F_k \right) J_{N-2} + J_1 \left( \sum_{k=2}^N J_{N-k} F_k \right). \quad (14)$$

This shows that  $P(N)$  is satisfied by  $U_2$ . Let us do the same with  $U_1$ . (1) and (2), after algebraic manipulations and taking into account (10), yield:

$$J_N U_1 = J_{N-1} F_1 - \sum_{k=2}^N J_{N-k} F_k = \sum_{k=1}^N J_{N-k} F_k. \quad (15)$$

This shows that  $P(N)$  is also satisfied by  $U_1$ . Now, suppose that  $P(N)$  is satisfied by  $U_{i-2}$  and  $U_{i-1}$ . From the previous results and by analogy with the case of  $U_2$ , the result (10) is easily obtained. This concludes the proof of theorem 1. Let us at this point worry about computer implementation of the algorithm.

### 3. SOLUTION ALGORITHM

The eigenvalues of  $A$  are [16]

$$\lambda_j = \xi + 2\gamma \cos \frac{j\pi}{N+1}; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (16)$$

and the corresponding eigenvector matrix is

$$P = (P_{ij}) = \left( \left( \frac{2}{N+1} \right)^{1/2} \sin \left( \frac{ij\pi}{N+1} \right) \right). \quad (17)$$

Note that  $P$  is orthogonal and  $J_m$  and  $A$  have the same eigenvector coordinate system. Also, we have

$$P^t A P = \Lambda = \text{Diag}(\lambda_i). \quad (18)$$

Let us describe some steps necessary for the computer implementation of the method.

#### (i) Determination of the Eigenvalues of $J_N$

Let  $\beta_{kL}$  be the  $k^{\text{th}}$  eigenvalue of  $J_L$ . From (4), it follows that

$$\beta_{kN} = \lambda_k \beta_{kN-1} - \beta_{kN-2}. \quad (19)$$

Equation (19) relates the eigenvalues  $\beta_{kN}$  of  $J_N$  to second kind Chebyshev polynomial in  $\lambda$ . Thus, applying (6) yields

$$\beta_{kN} = \prod_{j=1}^N (\lambda_k - R_N(j)); \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (20)$$

The computation of  $\beta_{kN}$  using (20) supposes that the factors have been previously ordered. Ordering algorithms are proposed in [17].

**(ii) Determination of  $U_1$  in the Eigenvector Coordinate System**

From (10) and (8) follows, in the eigenvector coordinate system:

$$U_{i1} = \frac{1}{\beta_{iN}} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_{iN-k} \mathbb{F}_i k \right]; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbb{F}_i = (\mathbb{F}_{1i}, \mathbb{F}_{2i}, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{Ni})^t = P^t F_i.$$

**(iii) Determination of  $U_j (2 \leq j \leq N)$  in the Eigenvector Coordinate System**

Equation (1) yields, in the eigenvector coordinate system:

$$U_{i2} = F_{i1} - \lambda_i U_{i1}; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (22)$$

$$U_{ij} = F_{ij} - 1 - (U_{ij-2} + \lambda_i U_{ij-1}); \quad j > 2; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1. \quad (23)$$

This concludes the solution of the equation in the eigenvector coordinate system.

**(iv) Determination of the Transformation of the Solution from the Eigenvectors Coordinate System to the Original Coordinate System**

Let  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)$  and  $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N)$  be, respectively, the solution in the eigenvector coordinate system and in the original coordinate system. Thus,

$$Y_i = P X_i; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

The total number of elementary operation is  $O(N^3)$ .

**4. SAMPLE APPLICATIONS**

The aim of the proposed examples is simply to demonstrate the validity of the results (8)–(10) presented in Theorems 1 and 2.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Consider the system of linear equations:  $A U = F$ ,  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $F = [30 \ 20 \ 20 \ 30]^t$ ;  $U = (U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4)$ . The exact solution is  $U_1 = U_2 = U_3 = U_4 = 10$ . Equations (4), (5), and (8) yield:

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= 1, & J_1 &= 4, & J_2 &= 15, & J_3 &= 56, & J_4 &= 209; \\ \Delta^* U_1 &= J_3 F_1 + J_2 F_2 + J_1 F_3 + F_4 = 2090, \\ \Delta^* U_2 &= J_2 F_1 + J_1 J_2 F_2 + J_1 J_1 F_3 + J_1 F_4 = 2090, \\ \Delta^* U_3 &= J_1 \{J_0 F_1 + J_2 F_2\} + J_2 \{J_1 F_3 + F_2\} = 2090, \\ \Delta^* U_4 &= J_0 F_1 + J_1 F_2 + J_2 F_3 + J_3 F_4 = 2090. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (10) yields  $U_1 = U_2 = U_3 = U_4 = 10$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.** Consider the block-tridiagonal system:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}u_1 - \mathbf{I}u_2 &= F_1 \\ -\mathbf{I}u_1 + \mathbf{A}u_2 - \mathbf{I}u_3 &= F_2, \\ -\mathbf{I}u_2 + \mathbf{A}u_3 &= F_3 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$F_1 = F_3 = (20, 10, 20)^\top, \quad F_2 = (10, 0, 10)^\top.$$

The exact solution is:  $U_1 = U_2 = U_3 = (10, 10, 10)^\top$ . Applying the proposed method yields:

$$J_0 = I, \quad J_1 = A, \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 16 & -8 & 1 \\ -8 & 17 & -8 \\ 1 & -8 & 16 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 68 & -48 & 12 \\ -48 & 80 & -48 \\ 12 & -48 & 68 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Delta^* U_1 = J_2 F_1 + J_1 F_2 + F_3 = (320, -160, 320)^\top;$$

$$\Delta^* U_2 = J_1 F_1 + J_1 J_1 F_2 + J_1 F_3 = (320, -160, 320)^\top;$$

$$\Delta^* U_3 = F_1 + J_1 F_2 + J_2 F_3 = (320, -160, 320)^\top;$$

$$J_3^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.03125 & 0.02678 & 0.01339 \\ 0.02678 & 0.04462 & 0.02678 \\ 0.01339 & 0.02678 & 0.03125 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, (10) yields:  $U_1 = U_2 = U_3 = (10, 10, 10)^\top$ . Now we apply algorithm (16)–(23).

The eigenvalues of  $A$  are

$$\lambda_1 = 2.5857; \quad \lambda_2 = 4; \quad \lambda_3 = 5.41421.$$

The matrix of eigenvectors is

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 0.50 & 0.707106 & 0.5 \\ 0.707106 & 0 & -0.707106 \\ 0.5 & -0.707106 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of the  $J_i$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{11} &= 2.5857 & \beta_{12} &= 5.6862 & \beta_{13} &= 121.1774, \\ \beta_{21} &= 4 & \beta_{22} &= 15 & \beta_{23} &= 56, \\ \beta_{31} &= 5.4142 & \beta_{32} &= 28.3137 & \beta_{33} &= 147.8822. \end{aligned}$$

The vectors  $\mathbb{F}_k$  are

$$\mathbb{F}_1 = \mathbb{F}_3 = (2.7071, 0, 1.2928)^\top; \quad \mathbb{F}_2 = (1, 0, 1)^\top.$$

The solution in the eigenvector coordinate system is:  $\mathbb{U}_i = P^t U_i$ ,

$$\mathbb{U}_1 = \mathbb{U}_2 = \mathbb{U}_3 = (17.07106, 0, 2.928932)^\top.$$

Solution in the original coordinate system  $= P \mathbb{U}_i$ ,

$$U_1 = U_2 = U_3 = (10, 10, 10)^\top.$$

## 5. CONCLUSION

The paper proposes a simple and original generalization of the Cramer's rule to a more general class of linear symmetric systems of equation. The main theoretical results are given in Theorems (1) and (2). A strategy for computer implementation of the method which reduces the initial system to a sequence of diagonal systems in the eigenvector coordinate system is proposed. The examples presented are symbolic but fully illustrate the validity of the main theoretical results of the paper.

## REFERENCES

1. Z. Zlataev, General scheme for solving algebraic problems by direct methods, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **1**, 177–186 (1986).
2. J.-S. Jwo, S. Lakshmivarahan, S.K. Dhall and J.M. Lewis, Comparison of performance of three parallel versions of the block cyclic reduction algorithm for solving linear elliptic partial differential equations, *Computers Math. Applic.* **24** (5/6), 83–102 (1992).
3. A.A. Samarski and N.N. Nikolaev, *Résolution Numérique des Equations de Maille*, MIR, Moscow, (1978).
4. V.V. Gulin, *Iterative Methods of Linear Algebra*, (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, (1990).
5. B. Buzbee, G. Golub and C. Nielson, On direct methods for solving Poisson's equation, *SIAM Numer. Anal.* **7**, 627–656 (1970).
6. A. Shieh, Fast Poisson solvers on general two dimensional region for the Dirichlet problem, *Numer. Math.* **31**, 405–429 (1979).
7. C. Temperton, Direct methods for the solution of the discrete Poisson equation; some comparisons, *J. Comp. Physics* **31**, 1–20 (1979).
8. R. Sweet, A generalized cyclic reduction algorithm, *SIAM Numer. Anal.* **11**, 506–520 (1974).
9. M. Schultz, *Elliptic Problem Solvers*, Academic Press, New York, (1984).
10. R.W. Hockney, A direct solution of Poisson's equation using Fourier analysis, *Journ. ACM* **12**, 95–113 (1985).
11. R. Sweet, A cyclic reduction algorithm for solving block tridiagonal system of arbitrary dimension, *SIAM Numer. Anal.* **14**, 706–720 (1977).
12. Heller, Some aspects of the cyclic reduction algorithm for block-tridiagonal linear systems, *SIAM Numer. Anal.* **13**, 456–484 (1976).
13. R. Bank, Marching algorithms for elliptic boundary value problems, Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, Boston, MA, (1975).
14. R. Bank and J. Rose, An  $O(N^2)$  method for solving constant coefficient boundary value problems in two dimensions, *SIAM Numer. Anal.* **12**, 529–540 (1975).
15. C. Lanczos, Tables of the Chebyshev polynomials  $S_n(x)$  and  $C_n(x)$ .
16. G. Golub, Direct methods for solving elliptic difference equations, lecture notes in the theory of numerical analysis, *Lectures Notes in Math.*, Vol. 193, pp. 1–18, Springer, (1971).
17. V.N. Lebedev, On the use of ordered Chebyshev parameters in iterative methods, *Soviet Journal of Numerical Analysis and Mathematical Physics* **16** (4), 845–905 (1976).